Accredited by the American College of Radiology



June 1, 2007

CT UROGRAM: VALUE OF IV CONTRAST FOR DIAGNOPSIS OF URETERAL STONES



Fig. 1 Fig. 2 <u>Clinical Presentation</u>: This is a 55-year-old female who was referred by Dr. Ted Brown to AIC with right flank pain. A CT urogram (with/without contrast) was performed on our 16-slice CT to rule out a kidney or ureteral stone.

Fig. 4

Fig. 3

Imaging Findings: Fig. 1 is an axial **precontrast** image through the pelvis showing a tiny calcification on the right side. It is almost impossible to determine whether or not it lies within the ureter since the ureter is difficult to distinguish from adjacent vessels and bowel (even when the serial images were viewed using a cine mode and a 3D workstation). Fig. 2 is a delayed **post-contrast** image at about the same level. It shows an opacified ureter with the calcification within it. Fig. 3 is a coronal reformations, also showing the same calcification. Fig. 4 is a true **3D CT urogram** image, similar to an IVP.

Diagnosis: A small right distal ureteral stone, diagnosed best on delayed post-contrast CT urogram. There is minimal proximal dilatation.

Discussion: CT urogram generally refers to a multislice CT of the kidneys, ureters, and bladder (abdomen/pelvis) without and with IV contrast material. 3D IVP-like images can be produced on a 3D workstation. Diagnosis of only **<u>KIDNEY</u> <u>STONES</u>** does <u>NOT</u> require IV contrast. However, diagnosis of **<u>KIDNEY</u>** <u>**CYSTS/MASSES**</u> or **<u>URETERAL</u>** <u>**STONES**</u> is often difficult, if not impossible, without the use of IV contrast material, the latter due to the presence of adjacent vessels and bowel, calcifications in calcified phleboliths or in iliac arteries, or other benign extra-ureteral calcifications. The only way to make the diagnosis in some cases is by opacifying the ureters with IV contrast and then determining whether or not a calcification lies within or outside of the ureter. There are also other secondary signs of a ureteral stone, namely edema in the adjacent ureteral wall, proximal dilataion, etc. These secondary signs may not always be present, as in this case where there is no or minimal proximal obstruction.

<u>CONCLUSION</u>: CT UROGRAM <u>without</u> the use of IV contrast (referred to by some clinicians as plain CT KUB, but technically not a true "urogram") is adequate for diagnosis of kidney stones, but is <u>limited</u> for diagnosis of ureteral stones and full characterization of renal cysts or other renal masses.

Ray Hashemi, MD

Ray H. Hashemi, M.D., Ph.D. Director

REFERENCE: M Noroozian, MD, R H Cohan, MD¹, et. al. Multislice CT urography: state of the art. British Journal of Radiology (2004) 77, S74-S86.10. ¹ Department of Radiology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA and Department of Radiology, The Churchill Hospital, Oxford, UK

Valencia: 25842 Tournament Road Phone (661) 255-0060 Fax (661) 255-0024 www.aicValencia.com Lancaster: 43731 N. 15th Street West Phone (661) 949-8111 Fax (661) 949-6600 www.aicLancaster.com **Palmdale:** 1529 E. Palmdale Blvd, Suite 100 Phone (661) 456-2020 Fax (661) 456-2021 www.aicLancaster.com